## POSTED ON WEB SITE

## THIS DECISION IS NOT FOR PUBLICATION OR CITATION

In re

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. 02-10465-A-7 (Jointly Administered Cases)

SAMMYE A. RICHARDSON (MICHAEL AMIR RICHARDSON, Case No. 10810-A-7),

Debtor.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW RE
FINAL FEE APPLICATIONS
OF RANDELL PARKER, TRUDI
MANFREDO, AND DENNIS BEAN &
CO.

Randell Parker, Chapter 7 Trustee, Trudi Manfredo (trustee's attorney, and Dennis Bean & Co. (trustee's accountant) have all filed final fee applications under 11 U.S.C. § 330. Sammye A. Richardson, the debtor, filed an opposition to the requested compensation. She did not appear at the hearing on the applications, which was held July 19, 2006. The court took the matter under submission after the hearing. This memorandum contains findings of fact and conclusions of law required by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7052 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 52. This is a core proceeding as defined in 28 U.S.C. §157(b)(2)(A) and (0).

The maximum compensation to the trustee pursuant to 11

U.S.C. § 326(a) is \$58,458.41. The trustee requests that amount in his request for compensation. He requests reimbursement of expenses in the amount of \$1,122.60. Dennis Bean & Co. requests compensation of \$11,076.20 and reimbursement of expenses in the amount of \$116.79. Trudi Manfredo requests compensation in the amount of \$130,418.75 plus reimbursement of expenses in the amount of \$17,940.49.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

These applications are governed by 11 U.S.C. § 330(a). section provides for reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services and for reimbursement for actual, necessary In determining the amount of reasonable compensation, the court is to consider the nature, extent, and value of the The court is to take into account the time spent; the services. rates charged; whether the services were necessary to the administration of the estate or beneficial to it at the time the services were rendered; whether they were performed within a reasonable amount of time given the complexity, importance and nature of the problem; and whether the compensation is reasonable based on customary compensation charged by comparably skilled practitioners in nonbankruptcy cases. The court is not to allow compensation for unnecessary duplication or services not likely to benefit the estate or necessary to the administration of the Additionally, compensation to a trustee is governed by the formula in 11 U.S.C. § 326.

## Dennis Bean & Co.

Dennis Bean & Co. was employed as the CPA for the estate. Given the length and complexity of this case and the number of assets sold by the trustee, fees of \$11,076.20 and expenses of \$116.79 are reasonable and shall be allowed.

## Randell Parker, Trustee.

Randell Parker is the chapter 7 trustee in the case. He has filed detailed time records describing the time he spent in the case. The total time spent was, through April 3, 2006, \$52,854.20 at a billing rate of between \$150 and \$230 per hour. The trustee raised his hourly rate during the pendency of the case. The maximum compensation allowable under § 326(a) to a chapter 7 trustee, based on the total disbursements in the case, is \$58,458.41. Due to the complexities and difficulties of this case, which will be described in greater detail below, it is appropriate to allow to the trustee the maximum compensation under § 326(a). Additionally, the trustee's reasonable and necessary expenses in the amount of \$1,122.60 will be awarded. Trudi Manfredo, Attorney for Trustee.

The trustee's attorney has filed copies of time records divided into project categories in this case. Manfredo's employment by the bankruptcy trustee was approved May 9, 2002, and her services began April 19, 2002. Since that time, like the trustee and the accountant, she has received no compensation.

She requests compensation at her hourly rate of \$175 per hour. Although she has raised her hourly rate during the pendency of the case, she has not raised it for this case. A narrative summary of the work performed in the case is Exhibit "A" to the application. That narrative summary describes succinctly the difficulties and complexities of this case.

"This case was highly contested by both Debtors Sammye and Michael Richardson and involved approximately 108 pieces of real property that the Debtors had transferred at various times to various insiders. For practically every action brought by the Trustee, Debtors filed literally thousands of pages. In many cases it was impossible to tell what relief the Debtors were seeking or to what motion or adversary proceeding the pleadings applied. Throughout the case, Debtors largely ignored all procedural statutes and rules which increased the burden on the Court and Trustee. Many times the Trustee and Trustee's counsel were not even served with documents filed by Debtor. The Court was forced to issue many orders sua sponte.

The Debtors also filed inaccurate schedules and never attended a meeting of creditors. This made investigating assets extremely difficult. The Debtor brought multiple lawsuits in the District court of Arizona naming the Trustee, Counsel for the Trustee, Trustee's Brokers, Realtors, Title companies, the Bankruptcy Judges, the Eastern District of California Judges, the United States Trustee Attorneys, etc. Numerous unsuccessful appeals were filed by the Debtors as well. The debtors were so obstructive during this case that many realtors and title companies refused to handle any more sales for the trustee. During the sale of real properties, frequently buyers backed out of sales due to threats of litigation by the Debtor."

The lack of information provided by the Debtor and the litigiousness of the Debtor made this case far more expensive for the estate than it otherwise would have been. It was difficult of the Trustee to determine the extent of the Debtor's assets

ΤU

because the schedules were inaccurate and unintelligible and the Debtor never attended a meeting of creditors.

The only opposition to approval of the fees was filed by the Debtor Sammye A. Richardson. The Court will overrule that opposition. Particularly within the context of this difficult case, the compensation requested by counsel for the trustee is reasonable within the meaning of § 330(a). Despite the obstacles placed in their path, the trustee and his attorney managed to locate and disburse \$1,183,613.54.

The application of Trudi Manfredo for allowance of compensation in the amount of \$130,418.75 and reimbursement of expenses in the amount of \$17,940.49 will be granted by separate order.

DATED: July 24, 2006.